

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,228	12/18/2001	Takayuki Shimamune	9358	5697
75	90 03/25/2003			
Low Offices of David J. Serbin			EXAMINER	
Unit 2 - First F 1423 Powhatan			PADGETT, M.	ARIANNE L
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	ノ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Astion Commission	Application No.   Applicant(s)   Shi manual et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit  M.L. Palgett 1762
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
△ Claim(s) 1-/4	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(e)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election
™ Claim(s) <u>1 ~ / 4</u> Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement
™ Claim(s) 1 -/ 4  Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection.	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved disapproved.
Claim(s) / -/ -/	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) / -/ -/	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) / -/ -/	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ted to by the Examiner
Claim(s) / _/	are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. sed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) / _/	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ted to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  seceived.  seceived in Application No
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  red to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No  received have been received
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ied to by the Examiner  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ied to by the Examiner  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ied to by the Examiner  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  red to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  seceived.  seceived in Application No.  shave been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  acceived.  acceived in Application No  shave been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  Interview Summary, PTO–413  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO–152

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 10/020,228

Art Unit 1762

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of infrared irradiation to deposit electrocatalytic or protective coatings from a precursor on an electrode (substrate), classified in Class 427, subclass 553+ (557).
- II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a conductive electrode on a substrate with an electrocatalytic or protective coating, classified in Class 428, subclass 411.1+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by materially different processes, such as thermally curing a protective coating may produce the same physical protective coating as an IR cured coating, which may also cure by heat but from a different source.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as

Serial No. 10/020,228

Art Unit 1762

shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to David Serbin on 3/17/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mailing was requested, due to the need to consult the inventors.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Serial No. 10/020,228

Art Unit 1762

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to M. L. Padgett from Monday through Friday from about 8 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. at telephone number (703) 308-2336 and FAX #703 872-9310 (official), 872-9311 (official after final) and 305-6078 (unofficial).

MLPadgett:cdc

march 19, 2003

March 25, 2003

MARIANNE PADGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER